

## Supplementary Information – S2 Text

### **Sexual selection in females and the evolution of polyandry**

Salomé Fromonteil<sup>1¶</sup>, Lucas Marie-Orleach<sup>2,3¶</sup>, Lennart Winkler<sup>4</sup>, Tim Janicke<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France.

<sup>2</sup> Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

<sup>3</sup> CNRS, Université de Rennes 1, ECOBIO (Écosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution) - UMR 6553, Rennes, France.

<sup>4</sup> Applied Zoology, TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany.

¶ Both authors contributed equally to this work.

\* tim.janicke@cefe.cnrs.fr

This Supplementary Information file includes:      References of primary studies

## **List of primary studies.**

References of all 82 published primary studies included in the meta-analysis.

1. Andrade MCB, Kasumovic MM. Terminal investment strategies and male mate choice: Extreme tests of Bateman. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*. 2005;45(5):838-47. doi: 10.1093/icb/45.5.838.
2. Anthes N, David P, Auld JR, Hoffer JN, Jarne P, Koene JM, et al. Bateman gradients in hermaphrodites: an extended approach to quantify sexual selection. *Am Nat*. 2010;176(3):249-63.
3. Aronsen T, Berglund A, Mobley KB, Ratikainen II, Rosenqvist G. Sex ratio and density affect sexual selection in a sex-role reversed fish. *Evolution*. 2013;67(11):3243-57. doi: 10.1111/evo.12201.
4. Balenger S, Johnson L, Masters B. Sexual selection in a socially monogamous bird: male color predicts paternity success in the mountain bluebird, *Sialia currucoides*. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*. 2009;63(3):403-11. doi: 10.1007/s00265-008-0674-5.
5. Barreto FS, Avise JC. Quantitative measures of sexual selection reveal no evidence for sex-role reversal in a sea spider with prolonged paternal care. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*. 2010;277(1696):2951-6. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2010.0311.
6. Becher SA, Magurran AE. Multiple mating and reproductive skew in Trinidadian guppies. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*. 2004;271(1543):1009-14. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2004.2701.
7. Bergeron P, Montiglio PO, Reale D, Humphries MM, Garant D. Bateman gradients in a promiscuous mating system. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*. 2012;66(8):1125-30. doi: 10.1007/s00265-012-1364-x.
8. Bjork A, Pitnick S. Intensity of sexual selection along the anisogamy-isogamy continuum. *Nature*. 2006;441(7094):742-5.
9. Bolopo D, Canestrari D, Martinez JG, Roldan M, Macias-Sanchez E, Vila M, et al. Flexible mating patterns in an obligate brood parasite. *Ibis*. 2017;159(1):103-12. doi: 10.1111/ibi.12429.
10. Borgerhoff Mulder M. Serial monogamy as polygyny or polyandry? *Human Nature*. 2009;20(2):130-50. doi: 10.1007/s12110-009-9060-x.
11. Borgerhoff Mulder M, Ross CT. Unpacking mating success and testing Bateman's principles in a human population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*. 2019;286(1908):10. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2019.1516.

12. Broquet T, Jaquière J, Perrin N. Opportunity for sexual selection and effective population size in the lek-breeding European treefrog (*Hyla arborea*) *Evolution*. 2009;63(3):674-83. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00586.x.
13. Burkli A, Jokela J. Increase in multiple paternity across the reproductive lifespan in a sperm-storing, hermaphroditic freshwater snail. *Mol Ecol*. 2017;26(19):5264-78. doi: 10.1111/mec.14200.
14. Byers BE, Mays HL, Stewart IRK, Westneat DF. Extrapair paternity increases variability in male reproductive success in the chestnut-sided warbler (*Dendroica pensylvanica*), a socially monogamous songbird. *Auk*. 2004;121(3):788-95. doi: 10.1642/0004-8038(2004)121[0788:Epivim]2.0.Co;2.
15. Cattelan S, Evans JP, Garcia-Gonzalez F, Morbiato E, Pilastro A. Dietary stress increases the total opportunity for sexual selection and modifies selection on condition-dependent traits. *Ecol Lett*. 2020;23(3):447-56. doi: 10.1111/ele.13443.
16. Collet J, Richardson DS, Worley K, Pizzari T. Sexual selection and the differential effect of polyandry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109(22):8641-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1200219109.
17. Courtiol A, Pettay JE, Jokela M, Rotkirch A, Lummaa V. Natural and sexual selection in a monogamous historical human population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109(21):8044-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118174109.
18. Croshaw DA. Quantifying sexual selection: a comparison of competing indices with mating system data from a terrestrially breeding salamander. *Biol J Linnean Soc*. 2010;99(1):73-83.
19. Dekker ML, Hagemayer A, Leon-Kloosterziel KM, Furness AI, Pollux BJA. High degree of multiple paternity and reproductive skew in the highly fecund live-bearing fish *Poecilia gillii* (Family Poeciliidae). *Front Ecol Evol*. 2020;8:14. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2020.579105.
20. Devost E, Turgeon J. The combined effects of pre- and post-copulatory processes are masking sexual conflict over mating rate in *Gerris buenoi*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. 2016;29(1):167-77. doi: 10.1111/jeb.12772.
21. Fitze PS, Le Galliard JF. Inconsistency between different measures of sexual selection. *Am Nat*. 2011;178(2):256-68. doi: 10.1086/660826.
22. Fritzsche K, Arnqvist G. Homage to Bateman: sex roles predict sex differences in sexual selection. *Evolution*. 2013;67(7):1926-36. Epub 2013/07/03. doi: 10.1111/evo.12086.
23. Fuxjager L, Wanzenböck S, Ringler E, Wegner KM, Ahnelt H, Shama LNS. Within-generation and transgenerational plasticity of mate choice in oceanic

- stickleback under climate change. *Philos Trans R Soc B-Biol Sci.* 2019;374(1768):12. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0183.
- 24. Gagnon M-C, Duchesne P, Turgeon J. Sexual conflict in *Gerris gillettei* (Insecta: Hemiptera): influence of effective mating rate and morphology on reproductive success. *Canadian Journal of Zoology.* 2012;90(11):1297-306. doi: 10.1139/z2012-098.
  - 25. Gao K, van Wijk M, Clement Z, Egas M, Groot AT. A life-history perspective on sexual selection in a polygamous species. *Bmc Evolutionary Biology.* 2020;20(1). doi: 10.1186/s12862-020-01618-3.
  - 26. Garcia-Navas V, Ferrer ES, Bueno-Enciso J, Barrientos R, Sanz JJ, Ortego J. Extrapair paternity in Mediterranean blue tits: socioecological factors and the opportunity for sexual selection. *Behavioral Ecology.* 2014;25(1):228-38. doi: 10.1093/beheco/art111.
  - 27. Gauthey Z, Tentelier C, Lepais O, Elosegi A, Royer L, Glise S, et al. With our powers combined: integrating behavioral and genetic data to estimate mating success and sexual selection. *Rethinking Ecology.* 2017;2:1.
  - 28. Gerlach NM, McGlothlin JW, Parker PG, Ketterson ED. Reinterpreting Bateman gradients: multiple mating and selection in both sexes of a songbird species. *Behavioral Ecology.* 2012;23(5):1078-88. doi: 10.1093/beheco/ars077.
  - 29. Glaudas X, Rice SE, Clark RW, Alexander GJ. The intensity of sexual selection, body size and reproductive success in a mating system with male-male combat: is bigger better? *Oikos.* 2020;129(7):998-1011. doi: 10.1111/oik.07223.
  - 30. Gopurenko D, Williams RN, DeWoody JA. Reproductive and mating success in the small-mouthed salamander (*Ambystoma texanum*) estimated via microsatellite parentage analysis. *Evolutionary Biology.* 2007;34(3-4):130-9. doi: 10.1007/s11692-007-9009-0.
  - 31. Gopurenko D, Williams RN, McCormick CR, DeWoody JA. Insights into the mating habits of the tiger salamander (*Ambystoma tigrinum tigrinum*) as revealed by genetic parentage analyses. *Mol Ecol.* 2006;15(7):1917-28. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02904.x.
  - 32. Greenway EV, Hamel JA, Miller CW. Exploring the effects of extreme polyandry on estimates of sexual selection and reproductive success. *Behavioral Ecology.* 2021;32(6):1055-63. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arab081.
  - 33. Grunst AS, Grunst ML, Korody ML, Forrette LM, Gonser RA, Tuttle EM. Extrapair mating and the strength of sexual selection: insights from a polymorphic species. *Behavioral Ecology.* 2019;30(2):278-90. doi: 10.1093/beheco/ary160.

34. Hargrove JS, McCane J, Roth CJ, High B, Campbell MR. Mating systems and predictors of relative reproductive success in a Cutthroat Trout subspecies of conservation concern. *Ecol Evol*. 2021;11(16):11295-309. doi: 10.1002/ece3.7914.
35. Hoffer JNA, Marien J, Ellers J, Koene JM. Sexual selection gradients change over time in a simultaneous hermaphrodite. *eLife*. 2017;6:16. doi: 10.7554/eLife.25139.
36. Janicke T, David P, Chapuis E. Environment-dependent sexual selection: Bateman's parameters under varying levels of food availability. *Am Nat*. 2015;185(6):756-68.
37. Johannesson K, Saltin SH, Charrier G, Ring AK, Kvarnemo C, Andre C, et al. Non-random paternity of offspring in a highly promiscuous marine snail suggests postcopulatory sexual selection. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*. 2016;70(8):1357-66. doi: 10.1007/s00265-016-2143-x.
38. Jokela M, Rotkirch A, Rickard IJ, Pettay J, Lummaa V. Serial monogamy increases reproductive success in men but not in women. *Behavioral Ecology*. 2010;21(5):906-12. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arq078.
39. Jones AG, Arguello JR, Arnold SJ. Validation of Bateman's principles: a genetic study of sexual selection and mating patterns in the rough-skinned newt. *Proc R Soc Lond Ser B-Biol Sci*. 2002;269(1509):2533-9.
40. Jones AG, Arguello JR, Arnold SJ. Molecular parentage analysis in experimental newt populations: The response of mating system measures to variation in the operational sex ratio. *Am Nat*. 2004;164(4):444-56. doi: 10.1086/423826.
41. Jones AG, Rosenqvist G, Berglund A, Arnold SJ, Avise JC. The Bateman gradient and the cause of sexual selection in a sex-role-reversed pipefish. *Proc R Soc Lond Ser B-Biol Sci*. 2000;267(1444):677-80.
42. Jones PH, Van Zant JL, Dobson FS. Variation in reproductive success of male and female Columbian ground squirrels (*Urocitellus columbianus*). *Can J Zool-Rev Can Zool*. 2012;90(6):736-43. doi: 10.1139/z2012-042.
43. Ketterson ED, Parker PG, Raouf SA, Nolan Jr V, Ziegenfus C, Chandler CH. The relative impact of extra-pair fertilizations on variation in male and female reproductive success in dark-eyed juncos (*Junco hyemalis*). In: Parker PG, Burley NT, editors. *Avian Reproductive Tactics: Female and Male Perspectives*. 491997. p. 81-101.
44. Krakauer AH. Sexual selection and the genetic mating system of Wild Turkeys. *Condor*. 2008;110(1):1-12. doi: 10.1525/cond.2008.110.1.1.

45. Kretzschmar P, Auld H, Boag P, Ganslosser U, Scott C, de Groot PJV, et al. Mate choice, reproductive success and inbreeding in white rhinoceros: New insights for conservation management. *Evol Appl.* 2020;13(4):699-714. doi: 10.1111/eva.12894.
46. LaBrecque JR, Alva-Campbell YR, Archambeault S, Crow KD. Multiple paternity is a shared reproductive strategy in the live-bearing surfperches (Embiotocidae) that may be associated with female fitness. *Ecol Evol.* 2014;4(12):2316-29. doi: 10.1002/ece3.1071.
47. Levine BA, Schuett GW, Clark RW, Repp RA, Herrmann HW, Booth W. No evidence of male-biased sexual selection in a snake with conventional Darwinian sex roles. *R Soc Open Sci.* 2020;7(10):10. doi: 10.1098/rsos.201261.
48. Levine BA, Smith CF, Schuett GW, Douglas MR, Davis MA, Douglas ME. Bateman-Trivers in the 21st Century: sexual selection in a North American pitviper. *Biol J Linnean Soc.* 2015;114(2):436-45. doi: 10.1111/bij.12434.
49. Levitan DR. Gamete traits influence the variance in reproductive success, the intensity of sexual selection, and the outcome of sexual conflict among congeneric sea urchins. *Evolution.* 2008;62(6):1305-16. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00378.x.
50. Louder MIM, Hauber ME, Louder ANA, Hoover JP, Schelsky WM. Greater opportunities for sexual selection in male than in female obligate brood parasitic birds. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology.* 2019;32(11):1310-5. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13537.
51. Mangold A, Trenkwalder K, Ringler M, Hoedl W, Ringler E. Low reproductive skew despite high male-biased operational sex ratio in a glass frog with paternal care. *Bmc Evolutionary Biology.* 2015;15. doi: 10.1186/s12862-015-0469-z.
52. Marie-Orleach L, Janicke T, Vizoso DB, David P, Scharer L. Quantifying episodes of sexual selection: Insights from a transparent worm with fluorescent sperm. *Evolution.* 2016;70(2):314-28. doi: 10.1111/evo.12861.
53. McCullough EL, Buzatto BA, Simmons LW. Population density mediates the interaction between pre- and postmating sexual selection. *Evolution.* 2018;72(4):893-905. doi: 10.1111/evo.13455.
54. Mills SC, Grapputo A, Koskela E, Mappes T. Quantitative measure of sexual selection with respect to the operational sex ratio: a comparison of selection indices. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences.* 2007;274(1606):143-50. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2006.3639.
55. Mobley KB, Jones AG. Overcoming statistical bias to estimate genetic mating systems in open populations: a comparison of Bateman's principles between the

- sexes in a sex-role-reversed pipefish. *Evolution*. 2013;67(3):646-60. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01819.x.
56. Moorad JA, Promislow DEL, Smith KR, Wade MJ. Mating system change reduces the strength of sexual selection in an American frontier population of the 19th century. *Evolution and Human Behavior*. 2011;32(2):147-55. doi: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2010.10.004.
  57. Morimoto J, Pizzari T, Wigby S. Developmental environment effects on sexual selection in male and female *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(5):27. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154468.
  58. Munroe KE, Koprowski JL. Sociality, Bateman's gradients, and the polygynandrous genetic mating system of round-tailed ground squirrels (*Xerospermophilus tereticaudus*). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*. 2011;65(9):1811-24. doi: 10.1007/s00265-011-1189-z.
  59. Paczolt KA, Passow CN, Delclos PJ, Kindsvater HK, Jones AMG, Rosenthal GG. Multiple mating and reproductive skew in parental and introgressed females of the live-bearing fish *Xiphophorus birchmanni*. *J Hered*. 2015;106(1):57-66. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esu066.
  60. Pelissie B, Jarne P, David P. Sexual selection without sexual dimorphism: Bateman gradients in a simultaneous hermaphrodite. *Evolution*. 2012;66(1):66-81. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01442.x.
  61. Poesel A, Gibbs HL, Nelson DA. Extrapair fertilizations and the potential for sexual selection in a socially monogamous songbird. *Auk*. 2011;128(4):770-6. doi: 10.1525/auk.2011.11127.
  62. Pongratz N, Michiels NK. High multiple paternity and low last-male sperm precedence in a hermaphroditic planarian flatworm: consequences for reciprocity patterns. *Mol Ecol*. 2003;12(6):1425-33. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01844.x.
  63. Prosser MR, Weatherhead PJ, Gibbs HL, Brown GP. Genetic analysis of the mating system and opportunity for sexual selection in northern water snakes (*Nerodia sipedon*). *Behavioral Ecology*. 2002;13(6):800-7. doi: 10.1093/beheco/13.6.800.
  64. Rios-Cardenas O. Patterns of parental investment and sexual selection in teleost fishes: Do they support Bateman's principles? *Integrative and Comparative Biology*. 2005;45(5):885-94. doi: 10.1093/icb/45.5.885.
  65. Rodriguez-Munoz R, Bretman A, Slate J, Walling CA, Tregenza T. Natural and sexual selection in a wild insect population. *Science*. 2010;328(5983):1269-72.

66. Rose E, Paczolt KA, Jones AG. The contributions of premating and postmating selection episodes to total selection in sex-role-reversed Gulf Pipefish. *Am Nat.* 2013;182(3):410-20. doi: 10.1086/671233.
67. Saunders KM, Shuster SM. Bateman gradients and alternative mating strategies in a marine isopod. *IntechOpen.* 2019;(DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.88956). doi: DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.88956.
68. Scheepers MJ, Gouws G. Mating system, reproductive success, and sexual selection in Bluntnose Klipfishes (*Clinus cottoides*). *J Hered.* 2019;110(3):351-60. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esz008.
69. Schlicht E, Kempenaers B. Effects of social and extra-pair mating on sexual selection in blue tits (*Cyanistes caeruleus*) *Evolution.* 2013;67(5):1420-34. doi: 10.1111/evo.12073.
70. Schulte-Hostedde AI, Millar JS, Gibbs HL. Sexual selection and mating patterns in a mammal with female-biased sexual size dimorphism. *Behavioral Ecology.* 2004;15(2):351-6. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arh021.
71. Serbezov D, Bernatchez L, Olsen EM, Vollestad LA. Mating patterns and determinants of individual reproductive success in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) revealed by parentage analysis of an entire stream living population. *Mol Ecol.* 2010;19(15):3193-205. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04744.x.
72. Skjaervo GR, Roskaft E. Wealth and the opportunity for sexual selection in men and women. *Behavioral Ecology.* 2015;26(2):444-51. doi: 10.1093/beheco/aru213.
73. Tatarenkov A, Healey CIM, Grether GF, Avise JC. Pronounced reproductive skew in a natural population of green swordtails, *Xiphophorus helleri*. *Mol Ecol.* 2008;17(20):4522-34. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03936.x.
74. Turnell BR, Shaw KL. High opportunity for postcopulatory sexual selection under field conditions. *Evolution.* 2015;69(8):2094-104. doi: 10.1111/evo.12721.
75. Ursprung E, Ringler M, Jehle R, Hodl W. Strong male/male competition allows for nonchoosy females: high levels of polygynandry in a territorial frog with paternal care. *Mol Ecol.* 2011;20(8):1759-71. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05056.x.
76. Walker LK, Ewen JG, Brekke P, Kilner RM. Sexually selected dichromatism in the hihi *Notiomystis cincta*: multiple colours for multiple receivers. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology.* 2014;27(8):1522-35. doi: 10.1111/jeb.12417.
77. Wang X, Liu S, Yang YQ, Wu LN, Huang WH, Wu RX, et al. Genetic evidence for the mating system and reproductive success of black sea bream (*Acanthopagrus schlegelii*). *Ecol Evol.* 2020;10(10):4483-94. doi: 10.1002/ece3.6215.

78. Wells CP, Tomalty KM, Floyd CH, McElreath MB, May BP, Van Vuren DH. Determinants of multiple paternity in a fluctuating population of ground squirrels. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol.* 2017;71(2):13. doi: 10.1007/s00265-017-2270-z.
79. Whittingham LA, Dunn PO. Effects of extra-pair and within-pair reproductive success on the opportunity for selection in birds. *Behavioral Ecology.* 2005;16(1):138-44. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arh140.
80. Whittingham LA, Lifjeld JT. High paternal investment in unrelated young: extra-pair paternity and male parental care in house martins. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol.* 1995;37(2):103-8. doi: 10.1007/s0026550370103.
81. Williams RN, DeWoody JA. Reproductive success and sexual selection in wild eastern tiger salamanders (*Ambystoma t. tigrinum*). *Evolutionary Biology.* 2009;36(2):201-13. doi: 10.1007/s11692-009-9058-7.
82. Woolfenden BE, Gibbs HL, Sealy SG. High opportunity for sexual selection in both sexes of an obligate brood parasitic bird, the brown-headed cowbird (*Molothrus ater*). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol.* 2002;52(5):417-25. doi: 10.1007/s00265-002-0529-4.